

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,902	04/13/2004	R. Payson Moreland	P06107US01	3996
22885	7590 03/23/2006		EXAM	INER
MCKEE, VO	OORHEES & SEASE,	LOCKETT, KIMBERLY R		
801 GRAND AVENUE SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	S, IA 50309-2721		2837	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		F
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/822,902	MORELAND, R. PAYSON
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kim R. Lockett	2837
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thir d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ite, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30/05	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27 and 29</u> is/are rejected.		·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreiga) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. (§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		TOCCIVEU.
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 14, 29, and 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Polley in view of Atkin.

Polley discloses the use of a textured apparatus(47) for use with a stringed instrument pick that does not change the function of a pick, the apparatus having a grip portion on a first surface comprising a relatively thin piece if material having the following properties (see figure 1): resists sliding and promotes gripping by human fingers; is applicable to the gripping portion on the first surface (column 2, lines 65-68). The apparatus as disclosed by Polley also discloses the use of material that fits within the perimeter dimensions of a pick, that can be retrofitted to an existing pick, and is adapted for various sized picks and has removable adhesion to a pick by surface tension without residue.

Polley does not disclose the specific use of material that is adapted for removably adhesion to a pick.

Atkin (US 2002/0178891A1) discloses the use of a pick with a material that is adapted for removably adhesion to a pick (see figure 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device as disclosed by Polley with the material as disclosed by Atkin (US 2002/0178891A1) in order to provide a pick with adhesion capabilities.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Polley in view of Atkin and Jonathan.

Polley and Atkin do not disclose the specific use of a flexible material.

Jonathan discloses the discloses the use of an apparatus for use with a stringed instrument pick that is a rubbery moldable apparatus(3) with a smooth surface for use with a stringed instrument pick.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device as disclosed by Polley with the adhesion

Application/Control Number: 10/822,902 Page 4

Art Unit: 2837

capabilities as disclosed by Atkin and the rubbery material as disclosed by Jonathan in order to provide a non-slip surface between a pick and musician's finger.

5. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Polley in view of Atkin and US 2002/0108483A1.

Polley and Atkin do not disclose the use of a thickness variance.

US 2002/0108483A1 discloses use of an apparatus for use with a stringed instrument pick with a raised thickness variance (page 2, column 2, lines 22-30) on the order of the pick to which it's to be applied.

Polley, Atkin, and US 2002/0108483A1 do not disclose the specific diameters as discloses by the applicant. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the diameters to those as claimed by the applicant since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.*

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device as disclosed by Polley with adhesion capabilities and the thickness variance as disclosed by US 2002/0108483A1 in order to provide a pick that keeps a pick from sliding.

7. Claim 28 is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,902 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 and 29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

9. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO 2800 Fax Center at 703-872-9306.

For assistance in **Patent procedure, fees or general Patent questions** calls should be directed to the **Patents Assistance Center (PAC) whose telephone number is 800-786-9199**. Assistance is also available on the Internet at www.uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Lockett whose telephone number is (703) 308-7615, after 2/3/04 my new number will be (571) 272-2067. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107.

KIMBERLY LOCKETT PRIMARY EXAMINER